

An abnormal visual field test can feel unsettling, especially if it was the first time you had one. The test itself is strange enough for many people. You sit at a machine, stare at a central point, and press a button whenever you catch a flash of light in your side vision. It feels simple while you are doing it, then suddenly you are told the result is not normal, and now there are questions.

That moment matters, but it does not automatically mean you have glaucoma or permanent vision loss. It means the pattern of your peripheral vision needs a closer look. Sometimes the explanation is straightforward, like fatigue, dry eyes, trouble concentrating, a poorly fitted corrective lens, or a learning curve with the test. Sometimes it is the first clue that an eye disease, often glaucoma, may be affecting the optic nerve. The next steps are about sorting out which of those possibilities is true.

## **What the visual field test is really measuring**

A visual field test checks how wide and how sensitive your vision is across the entire field, not just in the center where reading and facial recognition happen. The test is designed to catch gaps, dim areas, and blind spots that may be easy to miss in daily life. Many people do not notice early peripheral vision loss because the brain is good at filling in the blanks.

This is why the test shows up so often in a glaucoma eye exam. Glaucoma tends to affect peripheral vision before central vision, and by the time a person notices a problem on their own, damage may already be advanced. A visual field test, paired with an eye pressure test and optic nerve examination, helps eye doctors build a more complete picture.

The result, though, is not interpreted in isolation. A single abnormal field does not tell the whole story. Doctors compare it with the eye pressure reading, optic nerve appearance, angle anatomy, retinal imaging when appropriate, and your medical history. A person with a suspicious field test but completely normal optic nerves might simply need repeat testing. Another person with borderline pressure, a cupped optic nerve, and a matching visual field defect may need treatment sooner.

## **Why a result can look abnormal when nothing is seriously wrong**

One of the biggest mistakes people make is assuming an abnormal result equals a diagnosis. Visual field testing is useful, but it is also vulnerable to noise. A tired patient can miss lights. Someone anxious about pressing the button can respond inconsistently. A person who has never done the test before may not know how long to keep staring at the fixation target or may blink too often. Even a small droop of the eyelid can create shadows that look like missing vision.

The test environment matters more than most people expect. If you have dry eye disease, the blinking and discomfort can interfere with attention. If you were wearing the wrong prescription or forgot your glasses when the test allowed them, the responses may be less reliable. Head position and alignment inside the machine matter too. In a busy clinical setting, it is not rare for an otherwise healthy patient to produce a result that looks suspicious enough to repeat.

Doctors know this. They look at reliability indices, response patterns, and whether the defect makes sense anatomically. A result that looks random, overly generalized, or inconsistent from eye to eye often gets repeated before anyone draws strong conclusions. This is one reason a single abnormal visual field test should rarely be treated as the final word.

## The first thing that usually happens next

After an abnormal result, the next step is typically a more complete glaucoma eye exam or a follow-up visit focused on confirming whether the finding is real. In many cases, the doctor will repeat the visual field test. That sounds repetitive, but it is often the fastest way to separate a true defect from a one-off poor test day.

A repeat test may happen the same day or at a later visit. If the defect shows up again in a similar location, the finding becomes more believable. If it disappears, the first test may have been unreliable. This is especially common with early or subtle changes, where a tiny shift in attention can change the appearance of the plot.

The doctor may also do or review an eye pressure test, because pressure remains one of the major risk factors for glaucoma. Higher pressure is not the only cause of glaucoma, and normal-pressure glaucoma exists, but pressure is still one of the most practical pieces of information in the workup. If the pressure is elevated, the optic nerve looks suspicious, and the visual field defect fits, the case for treatment becomes much stronger.

## Why glaucoma is often part of the conversation

People hear “abnormal visual field” and immediately think glaucoma screening Redlands or wherever they happen to be receiving care, because glaucoma is one of the main diseases associated with these tests. That connection is reasonable. Glaucoma damages the optic nerve, and the earliest measurable changes can appear in peripheral vision before the patient notices symptoms.

The important detail is that glaucoma is usually diagnosed by pattern, not by one number or one image. An abnormal field pattern can support glaucoma, but the doctor also needs to know whether the optic nerve shows thinning or cupping, whether the pressure is elevated, whether there is a family history, whether you are of an age or ethnicity associated with higher risk, and whether the defect respects known nerve patterns. The diagnosis often develops over time.

A first suspicious field may lead to a second test in a few weeks or months, depending on how concerning it looks. In a patient with higher risk, the doctor may move more quickly. In a low-risk patient with a borderline result, it may be reasonable to observe and repeat testing before labeling it disease. That judgment matters because glaucoma treatment is lifelong in many cases, so doctors want to avoid treating a bad test rather than a real condition.

## How the eye doctor decides whether the result matters

There is a lot going on behind the scenes after an abnormal field test. A good clinician is asking several questions at once: Does this defect make anatomical sense? Is it repeatable? Does it match the optic nerve appearance? Is the pressure high? Is there any other explanation, such as cataract, retinal disease, neurologic disease, or a test artifact?

This is where experience counts. A field defect that hugs the edge of the chart may suggest fatigue or poor attention. A vertical pattern may raise different concerns than a horizontal one. A defect that respects one eye more than the other may be more informative than a diffuse reduction in sensitivity. None of this is interpreted casually. Even small patterns can matter if they are consistent across tests.

Sometimes the next step is imaging of the optic nerve and retinal nerve fiber layer. Sometimes the doctor orders another [Visit this page](#) field with a different strategy. Sometimes they refer for further evaluation if the pattern suggests something beyond glaucoma, such as a neurologic issue. The point is not just to confirm damage. It is to identify the source.

## If glaucoma is suspected, treatment may start before symptoms appear

A lot of people are surprised that treatment can begin before they feel anything. That is normal. Glaucoma is famously quiet until significant damage has accumulated. If the exam suggests a real risk of progression, treatment may begin even if your vision feels perfectly normal.

The first treatment is often a pressure-lowering eye drop. In some cases, laser treatment is discussed, especially if drops are not tolerated or if the pressure reduction needed is substantial. The goal is not to restore lost vision, because damage to the optic nerve is generally permanent. The goal is to prevent more loss.

Patients sometimes assume that if their vision seems fine, the result cannot be serious. Yet a person can lose a meaningful portion of peripheral vision before reading or driving becomes obviously difficult. That is why a glaucoma eye exam focuses on preserving vision early, not waiting for daily symptoms to appear.

## What the eye pressure test can and cannot tell you

The eye pressure test, often done with a puff of air or a small instrument touching the eye after numbing drops, is one of the most familiar parts of an exam. People often assume pressure alone determines whether they have glaucoma. It does not.

A high pressure can raise concern, but some people with high pressure never develop glaucoma damage. Others develop glaucoma with pressure readings in the normal range. Pressure is a risk factor and a treatment target, not the whole diagnosis. That nuance matters after an abnormal visual field test because it **eye doctor** keeps the doctor from overreacting to a single number, while also avoiding the mistake of ignoring a normal pressure reading when the field and optic nerve are clearly suspicious.



The pressure reading also changes with corneal thickness, time of day, and measurement method. A clinic reading of 22 mmHg is not always equivalent to the same number in another setting. Eye care professionals take that into account when deciding whether a result is worrisome.

## Common follow-up tests you might hear about

After a suspicious field test, the doctor may add other tests to clarify the picture. Some of these are quick and painless, and they can answer different parts of the same question. One test checks the optic nerve head directly.

Another measures the thickness of the cornea. Another maps the retinal nerve fiber layer. These details help distinguish true nerve loss from testing variability or unrelated eye problems.

The extra workup can feel excessive if you came in expecting a simple screening visit. It is not. Glaucoma screening Redlands or anywhere else works best when it treats the eye as a system, not a single pressure number or a single printout. The optic nerve, retina, pressure, cornea, and visual field all have to be considered together.

Sometimes the workup reveals cataracts or another eye condition that is affecting the field test. Cataracts can lower overall sensitivity and create a kind of generalized haze on the chart. Retinal disease may create patterns that do not fit glaucoma. Less commonly, a neurologic issue outside the eye can create field loss that needs separate evaluation. The field test is the clue, not the final answer.

## **What you should expect emotionally and practically**

Most people leave the appointment with a mix of uncertainty and concern. That is understandable. Abnormal testing invites questions that are hard to answer on the spot. Will this get worse? Do I need drops right away? Is my vision already damaged? Did I do the test wrong?

The best approach is to ask for the result in plain language. A good eye doctor can tell you whether the defect looked mild, moderate, or severe, whether it was likely reliable, and whether the next step is repeat testing, monitoring, or treatment. If the concern is glaucoma, ask whether the optic nerve showed matching changes and whether the pressure supported that impression. You are not being difficult by asking. This is the level of clarity people need to make sense of a possibly lifelong diagnosis.

It also helps to bring a few practical details to the visit. Think about whether you were unusually tired, whether your eyes were dry that day, whether you had trouble understanding the test, and whether you have family members with glaucoma. Those details can change how the doctor interprets the result.

## **What happens if the result stays abnormal**

When the same defect appears more than once, the conversation becomes more serious. A repeat abnormal test, especially one that matches optic nerve changes, often leads to a working diagnosis of glaucoma or glaucoma suspect. At that point, follow-up usually becomes more structured. The doctor may set target pressure goals, schedule periodic field tests, and watch for progression over time.

Progression is the key concern. A field defect that stays stable for years can sometimes be managed successfully. A field that deepens or spreads demands action. That is why serial testing matters so much. One data point is informative. A trend is what drives decisions.

For some patients, the treatment plan is straightforward and calm. A once-daily drop, a pressure check in a few months, a repeat field test in six months, and careful observation. For others, especially those with more advanced disease, treatment may include multiple drops or laser therapy, with closer follow-up. The degree of response varies from person to person.

## **When the abnormal result is not glaucoma**

Not every abnormal field points to glaucoma. That distinction is important because people can become fixated on the most familiar diagnosis and miss other possibilities. If the pattern does not fit glaucoma, the eye doctor may consider cataract, macular disease, retinal vein issues, optic neuritis, stroke-related field loss, or even unreliable testing.

The pattern of loss often provides clues. Glaucoma usually respects the structure of the optic nerve and tends to produce characteristic arcuate defects and nasal step patterns. Loss that crosses certain boundaries or appears in an unusual distribution can suggest a different problem. The doctor may also ask about headaches, neurologic symptoms, trauma, or recent illness.

This is why a careful exam matters more than a rushed interpretation. The visual field test is powerful, but only when it is read in context.

## **How to prepare for the next appointment**

If you are heading back for repeat testing, there are a few simple things that can make the visit more useful. Arrive rested if you can. Use your prescribed eye drops as directed unless your doctor says otherwise. Bring your glasses if they are typically worn for distance or near work during the test. If your eyes are dry, mention it before the exam. If you had a hard time understanding the button-press rhythm last time, say so upfront.

The goal is not to “pass” the test. It is to get the clearest possible picture of your vision. A more accurate test helps the doctor decide whether what looked abnormal was a false alarm or an early sign of disease.

If you are being followed for possible glaucoma, ask when you should expect another eye pressure test and another visual field test. Testing intervals vary depending on risk, but the pattern of follow-up is usually more important than a single appointment. Good glaucoma care is built on comparison over time.

## **The bigger picture after one abnormal result**

A single abnormal visual field test is a signal to look closer, not a verdict. Sometimes it leads to relief when the repeat test comes back normal. Sometimes it reveals an early problem caught at the right time. Either way, it serves its purpose by turning a quiet, invisible process into something measurable.

That is the real value of this kind of testing. Peripheral vision loss can creep along without warning, and glaucoma in particular can do damage long before a person notices anything in daily life. The abnormal field is often the first page in a longer story, but it is not the whole story. The next pages come from repeat testing, optic nerve examination, pressure measurement, and careful clinical judgment.

If you have already been told your result was abnormal, it is reasonable to feel uneasy. It is also reasonable to wait for the follow-up before jumping to conclusions. A thoughtful glaucoma eye exam, paired with the right repeat studies, usually sorts out whether the result reflects fatigue, a test artifact, or early disease that needs attention. What happens next depends less on the label and more on the pattern, the repeatability, and the full exam around it.

## **Opticore Optometry Group, PC - REDLANDS, CA**

*27514 W Lugonia Ave, Ste A, Redlands, CA 92674*

Phone: [\(909\) 515-0484](tel:(909)515-0484)

Website: [opticoreyegroup.com/citrus-plaza.html](https://opticoreyegroup.com/citrus-plaza.html)

